

Research

Open Access

Do younger women with non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma have poor prognosis?

Aleyamma Mathew*¹, Balakrishnan Rajan² and Manoj Pandey³

Address: ¹Division of Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Regional Cancer Centre, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India, ²Division of Radiation Oncology, Regional Cancer Centre, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India and ³Division of Surgical Oncology, Regional Cancer Centre, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Email: Aleyamma Mathew* - amathew@rcctvm.org; Manoj Pandey - manojpandey@rcctvm.org; Balakrishnan Rajan - brajan@rcctvm.org

* Corresponding author

Published: 22 January 2004

Received: 14 February 2003

World Journal of Surgical Oncology 2004, 2:2

Accepted: 22 January 2004

This article is available from: <http://www.wjso.com/content/2/1/2>

© 2004 Mathew et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Background: Controversy abounds over whether breast cancer in younger women is more aggressive than those in older. The aim of the study was to assess the influence of age on long-term survival of women with breast carcinoma.

Materials and Methods: Patients with non-metastatic and non-inflammatory invasive breast carcinoma treated at the Regional Cancer Centre, Trivandrum, Kerala, India during 1990–93 were divided into 4 age groups as < 40 years, 40–49, 50–59, and > 60 years. The overall survival (OS) for each age group was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method in relation to the primary tumor (T) and the axillary node status (N). The OS of the various age groups were compared using the log-rank test. Hazard ratio and 95% confidence interval for each age group was estimated using Cox-regression model after adjusting for T and N.

Results: Between 1990–1993, 1701 women (26%, <40 years) reported with non-metastatic and non-inflammatory invasive breast carcinoma. Overall survival (OS) of all the women was 52.6% (standard error 1%) at 10 years. The OS for women with age < 40 years and with T3 and T4 disease status was 36.6% and 10.4% respectively and for those in 40–49 age group was 41.9% and 33.5%. The 10-year OS for women with node positive (N1) disease was 24.6% in < 40 years and 45.2% in the 40–49 age group ($p = 0.0006$). After adjusting for tumor and node stage the relative risk for death was 24% lower for women in 40–49 age group as compared to women <40 years of age.

Conclusion: Women under 40 years with T3/ T4 breast lesions and/or positive axillary nodes were found to have a significantly poorer survival.

Introduction

The effect of age on survival in women with breast carcinoma is controversial. Several studies have reported that younger age to be associated with poorer prognosis when compared to older patients [1-6]. Other studies have

reported that younger women have a better chance of survival [7-9]. There are reports of peri-menopausal women having a good prognosis [4,10-12]. However, in a few studies, no significant correlation between age and prognosis has been obtained [13-15]. It remains unclear as to

whether the different outcome of treatment seen in the various age groups is a reflection of aggressiveness of the disease and underlying tumor biology. Thus the effect of age on prognosis still seems controversial. The aim of the present study was to assess the influence of age on long-term (10-year) survival in breast cancer patients treated at Regional Cancer Centre (RCC), Trivandrum, Kerala, India. The results were controlled for the extent of disease at diagnosis (T and N stage), which is a major determinant of survival.

Materials and methods

Between 1990–1993, 1701 women with non-metastatic and non-inflammatory invasive breast carcinoma were treated at RCC, Trivandrum. The follow-up period lasted until December 2000. Women were grouped according to age into <40 years, 40–49, 50–59, and > 60 years. Diagnosis and treatment particulars were abstracted from the patient medical records. Tumor size was classified into T1 (< 2 cm.), T2 (2 cm. to less than 5 cm.), T3 (5 cm. or more) and T4 (any size with direct extension to chest wall or skin) and Tx, if the details of the primary tumor were not known (UICC-TNM classification). Lymph node status was histologically assessed and was classified as node negative and node positive. All patients had undergone surgery and/or radiotherapy with or without adjuvant chemotherapy and/or hormone therapy as per the institutional protocol.

Information on patient outcome was obtained through periodic follow-up of patients at RCC, Trivandrum. The information on lost to follow-up patients was obtained through repeated reply-paid postal enquiry. As this was not cause specific survival estimation, all causes of deaths were accounted as 'event'. All other patients were 'censored' as on December 2000. The follow-up time was calculated from the date of diagnosis.

The OS for the various age groups were estimated in relation to the primary tumor (T) and the nodal status (N) using the Kaplan-Meier method [16]. The OS of these age groups were compared using log-rank test. Prognosis (hazard ratios and 95% confidence interval) of each age group was estimated using Cox-regression model [17] after adjusting for T and N.

Results

The data collected were grouped into 4 according to age: < 40 years (n = 437), 40–49 years (n = 557), 50–59 years (n = 433), and > 60 years (n = 274). The median age was 46 years (range: 21–90 years). The median follow-up was 66 months. At the end of the study period, that is December 2000, 640 (38%) patients were dead while 556 failed. Of these 556 patients, 125 (22.4%) had metastasis in bone (7.1% spine), 41 (7.3%) in liver, 40 (7.1%) in lung, 34 (6.1%) in brain, 20 (3.5%) in opposite breast and 169 (30.3%) had multiple metastasis. The rest 127 failed locally (22.8%). The distribution of patients in each age group by T and N is summarized in Table 1. Node positivity was higher among women under 40 years (60%) as compared to women > 60 years (55%) (Table 1). This difference, however, was not statistically significant (p = 0.12).

The 10-year OS for the whole group was 52.6% [standard error (SE) 2%] (Figure 1), it was 46.5% for women with age <40 years and 59.8% for the 40–49 years age group (p = 0.0001) (Table 2) (Figure 2). Survival for the above four age groups was estimated in relation to T (Figures 3, 4, 5, 6) and N (Figures 7 &8) (Tables 3, 4, 5, 6). In women aged <40 years, and >60 there was a significant drop in survival in T4-stage. However, this was not seen in women aged 40–49, and 50–59 years and in patients with T1 to T3 disease. When T-status alone was considered, those under the age of 40 years and with T1, T2 disease had a 10-year OS of 62.3% and 58.3% respectively (Table 3). For those pre-

Table 1: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma. Distribution of age group by Tumor stage and Nodal status

Tumor status	Age (years)				Total
	<40	40–49	50–59	60–69	
Total	437	557	433	274	1701
T1	51 (11.7)*	64 (11.5)	49 (11.3)	42 (15.3)	206
T2	204 (46.7)	268 (48.1)	222 (51.3)	125 (45.6)	819
T3	116 (26.5)	129 (23.2)	81 (18.7)	41 (15.0)	367
T4	39 (8.9)	51 (9.2)	56 (12.9)	48 (17.5)	194
Tx	27 (6.2)	45 (8.1)	25 (5.8)	18 (6.6)	115
Nodal status					
Negative	174 (39.8)	238 (42.7)	191 (44.1)	122 (44.5)	725
Positive	263 (60.2)	319 (57.3)	242 (55.9)	152 (55.5)	976

* Figures in parenthesis shows the percentages




Figure 1
Overall Survival of women with breast carcinoma (N = 1701)




Figure 3
Overall Survival of women with T1 breast cancer by age group




Figure 2
Overall Survival of women with breast cancer by age group




Figure 4
Overall Survival of women with T2 breast cancer by age group

senting with T3 and T4 lesion, the 10-year OS was 36.6% and 10.4%. In the 40–49 age group, the 10-year OS was 41.9% and 33.5% for T3 and T4 lesions respectively (Table 3). There was no significant difference in survival by age among patients with T1, T2 and T3 tumors, however patients with T4 lesion showed significant difference in survival between those <40 years and other age groups (Table 4). The 10-year OS for women < 40 years with node negativity was 76.8% in contrast to 24.2% for those with

node positivity (Table 5). Absence of axillary nodes showed a significant difference in outcome among the various age groups ($p = 0.01$) (Table 5). However, women under 40 years with positive nodes had significantly poorer survival in comparison with women aged 40–49, and 50–59 years ($p = 0.014$ and $p = 0.019$ respectively) (Table 6).




Figure 5
Overall Survival of women with T3 breast cancer by age group




Figure 7
Survival of women with node negative breast carcinoma by age group




Figure 6
Overall Survival of women with T4 breast cancer by age group




Figure 8
Survival of women with node negative breast carcinoma by age group

The Cox-regression analysis showed that the prognosis was significantly better among women with 40–49 years (hazard ratio: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.62–0.93) compared to patients under the age of 40 years after adjusting for both T and N (Table 7). However, on adjusting for either T or N all age groups showed uniform reduction in hazards when compared with women <40 years of age (Table 7)

Discussion

In the present study of women with breast carcinomas we have observed that the prognosis was worst in women aged < 40 years and best in the 40–49 years of age group after controlling for T and N.

Table 2: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma: survival by different age groups (figures in parenthesis denotes %standard error).

Age (years)	Overall Survival (%)		
	3-year	5-year	10-year
<40	69 (2)	58.5 (3)	46.5 (3)
40-49	75.1 (1)	65.5 (2)	59.8 (2)
50-59	75.6 (2)	67 (2)	58.9 (2)
60+	71.9 (2)	61.6 (2)	48 (3)

p = 0.94

Table 3: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma: Overall survival (%) and standard error (%) of different age groups by tumor stage

Age (years)	T1			T2			T3			T4		
	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr
<40	88.5 5	79.7 6	62.3 9	81.5 3	69.2 4	58.3 5	52.3 6	44.0 6	36.6 6	15.7 8	10.4 6	10.4 6
40-49	88.8 4	79.3 5	73.2 7	92.4 1	83.0 2	66.3 3	66.8 4	53.5 4	41.9 6	59.5 7	47.7 7	33.5 9
50-59	89.2 4	78.3 5	55.9 3	82.0 2	73.4 3	57.1 6	63.6 4	56.4 5	46.4 5	65.6 6	55.3 6	46.7 7
60+	85.0 5	77.8 6	70.0 7	81.8 2	70.2 3	55.5 5	65.1 6	55.5 6	47.9 7	41.7 6	30.4 6	18.1 5

Overall p = 0.0046

Table 4: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma Log Rank Statistic and (p-value at 5% level)

For T = 1			
Age group	<40	40-49	50-59
40-49	0.75 (0.3866)		
50-59	0.02 (0.8829)	0.63 (0.4264)	
>60	0.01 (0.9300)	0.58 (0.4474)	0.01 (0.9305)
For T = 2			
Age group	< 40	40-49	50-59
40-49	0.98 (0.3223)		
50-59	0.44 (0.5050)	0.11 (0.7432)	
>60	0.04 (0.8439)	1.68 (0.1943)	0.94 (0.3335)
For T = 3			
Age group	< 40	40-49	50-59
40-49	1.89 (0.1690)		
50-59	2.10 (0.1474)	0.03 (0.8619)	
>60	2.00 (0.1569)	0.06 (0.8140)	0.01 (0.9084)
For T = 4			
Age group	< 40	40-49	50-59
40-49	15.48 (0.0001)		
50-59	16.73 (0.0000)	0.42 (0.5174)	
>60	5.94 (0.0148)	4.46 (0.0347)	7.58 (0.0059)

Table 5: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma: Overall Survival(%) of different age groups by nodal status (figures in parenthesis denotes standard error %).

Age (years)	Node Negative			Node Positive		
	3-year	5-year	10-year	3-year	5-year	10-year
<40	91.3 (2)	85.5 (3)	76.8 (4)	53.6 (4)	40.0 (4)	24.2 (5)
40-49	89.0 (2)	82.2 (2)	73.9 (3)	65.0 (2)	53.4 (3)	43.6 (3)
50-59	87.5 (2)	81.3 (2)	68.8 (6)	66.4 (3)	55.8 (3)	41.6 (4)
60+	90.0 (2)	81.3 (3)	64.4 (6)	57.0 (3)	45.7 (3)	34.5 (4)

p = 0.01

Table 6: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma: Log Rank Statistic and the corresponding p-value (in parenthesis) adjusted for pathological node involvement.

Age groups	<40	40-49	50-59
40-49	5.97 (0.0146)		
50-59	5.46 (0.0195)	0.01 (0.9114)	
>60	0.05 (0.8232)	5.49 (0.0191)	4.74 (0.0295)

Table 7: Non-metastatic and non-inflammatory breast carcinoma: Results of Cox-regression analysis

Age (years)	Hazard ratio*	95% confidence interval
Adjusted for both T and N stage		
<40	1.00	Referent
40-49	0.76	0.62-0.93*
50-59	1.00	0.83-1.27
>=60	0.95	0.74-1.21
Adjusted for T alone		
<40	1.00	Referent
40-49	0.70	0.56-0.89*
50-59	0.71	0.56-0.90*
>=60	0.89	0.70-1.1
Adjusted for N stage alone		
<40	1.00	Referent
40-49	0.75	0.60-0.94*
50-59	0.76	0.60-0.96*
>=60	0.97	0.76-1.2

*statistically significant at 5% level

The influence of age on the prognosis of breast cancer still remains controversial. Several studies based on registry data showed poor prognosis for patients aged less than 35 or 40 years as compared to the older patients [2,4,6,10,18-27]. In the present study, the survival was lower in women under 40 years, with node positive disease and T3/T4 lesions. Holli et al. [28] found the poorest 10-year relative survival rates for node-positive disease in women less than 35 years of age. It is difficult to discern the biologic

reason as to why the occurrence of breast cancer in young women is the worst. Some hereditary factors may suggest the poor prognosis. It has been suggested that the poor prognosis of younger women is due to underlying pathological factors. Younger women have been shown to have a higher likelihood of lymphatic invasion [23], extensive ductal carcinoma in-situ in association with the invasive component [29], estrogen receptor negativity [30] and grade 3 histology [31]. However, despite controlling for

these factors the difference in survival remains the same between various age groups as is seen in the present study.

In the present study we observed that women with age >60 years and T4 disease had lower survival. This could be due to less aggressive treatment received by this group of women. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are much more commonly used to treat younger patients. Older patients are often not keen to undergo more invasive therapies, due to unique social fabric. More over, some of these may have only a limited life span from coexisting disease or may have cardiac contraindications to undergo intensive cytotoxic therapy.

In the present study the best prognosis was observed in the age group of 40–49 years after controlling for T and N. Other studies have also demonstrated that women aged 40–49 years have the best prognosis [19,21]. The contrast in survival observed in the present study between patients aged 40–49 and younger than 40 years are remarkable. The treatment procedures in these age groups are essentially the same and their difference in outcome may be related to the menstrual status, the former group being peri-menopausal.

In conclusion, the general observation that young women with breast cancer have a poorer outcome is established in this study as well. Even though the suggested reasons for this poor outcome are logical, more biological information and integration of genetic treatment in addition to chemotherapy and hormone therapy is the only answer to improving their outcome.

References

- Kroman N, Jensen M-B, Wohlfahrt J, Mouridsen HT, Anderson PK, Melbye M: **Factors influencing the effect of age on prognosis in breast cancer: population based study.** *Br Med J* 2000, **320**:474-479.
- Vanlemmen L, Hebbbar M, Peyrat JP, Bonnetterre J: **Age as a prognostic factor in breast cancer.** *Anti Cancer Research* 1998, **18**:1891-1896.
- Tsuchiya A, Abe R, Kanno M, Ohtake T, Fukushima T, Nomizu T, Kimijima I: **Role of age as a prognostic factor in breast cancer.** *Jpn J Surg* 1997, **27**:213-216.
- Chung M, Chang HR, Bland KI, Wanebo HJ: **Younger women with breast carcinoma have a poorer prognosis than older women.** *Cancer* 1996, **77**:97-103.
- Bonnier P, Romain S, Charpin C, Lejeune C, Tubiana N, Martin PM et al.: **Age as a prognostic factor in breast cancer: relationship to pathologic and biologic features.** *Int J Cancer* 1995, **62**:138-144.
- De la Rochefordiere A, Asselain B, Campana F, Scholl S-M, Fenton J, Vilcoq J, Durand J-C, Pouillart P, Magdelenat H, Fourquet A: **Age as prognostic factor in premenopausal breast carcinoma.** *Lancet* 1993, **341**:1039-1043.
- Rutqvist LE, Wallgren A: **The influence of age on outcome in breast cancer.** *Acta Radiol Oncol* 1983, **22**:289-294.
- Langlands AO, Pocock JP, Keww GR, Gore SM: **Long-term survival of patients with breast cancer: A study of the curability of the disease.** *Br Med J* 1979, **2**:1247-1251.
- Anderson BO, Senie RT, Vetto JT, Wong GY, McCormick B, Borgen PI: **Improved survival in young women with breast cancer.** *Ann Surg Oncol* 1995, **2**:407-415.
- Sant M, Gatta G, Micheli A, Verdecchia A, Capocaccia R, Crosignani P, Berrino F: **Survival and age at diagnosis of breast cancer in a population-based cancer registry.** *Eur J Cancer* 1991, **27**:981-984.
- Lees AW, Jenkins HJ, May CL, Cherian G, Lam EWH, Hanson J: **Risk factors and 10-year breast cancer survival in northern Alberta.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1989, **13**:143-151.
- Betta PG, Robutti F, Spinoglio G, Bottero G: **A multivariate analysis of the prognostic significance of pathological features in breast cancer of perimenopausal age.** *Pathologica* 1988, **80**:287-292.
- Boffetta P, Merletti F, Winkelmann R, Magnani C, Cappa A, Terracini B: **Survival of breast cancer patients from piedmont, Italy.** *Cancer Causes Control* 1993, **4**:209-215.
- Hibberd AD, Horwood LJ, Wells JE: **Long-term prognosis of women with breast cancer in New Zealand: study of survival to 30 years.** *Br Med J* 1983, **286**:1777-1779.
- Ctowe JP, Gordon NH, Shenck RR, Zollinger RM, Brumberg DJ, Shuck JM: **Age does not predict breast cancer outcome.** *Arch Surg* 1994, **129**:483-488.
- Kaplan EL, Meier P: **Non-parametric estimation from incomplete observation.** *J Amer Stat Assoc* 1958, **53**:457-481.
- Cox DR: **Regression models and life-tables (with discussion).** *J R Statistic Soc* 1972, **34**:187-220.
- Noyes RD, Spanos WJ, Montague ED: **Breast cancer in women aged 30 and under.** *Cancer* 1989, **49**:1302-1307.
- Adami HO, Malker B, Holmberg L, Persson I, Stone B: **The relation between survival and age at diagnosis in breast cancer.** *New Engl J Med* 1986, **315**:559-563.
- Host H, Lund E: **Age as a prognostic factor in breast cancer.** *Cancer* 1986, **57**:2217-2221.
- Levi F, Ranaimbison L, La Vaecchia C: **Breast cancer survival in relation to sex and age.** *Oncology* 1992, **49**:413-417.
- Riberio GG, Swindel R: **The prognosis of breast carcinoma in women aged less than 40 years.** *Clin Radiol* 1981, **32**:231-236.
- Nixon A-J, Neuberger D, Hayes D-F, Gelman R, Connolly J-L, Schnitt S, Abner A, Recht A, Vicini F, Harris J-R: **Relationship of patient age to pathologic features of the tumor and prognosis for patients with stage I or II breast cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 1994, **12**:888-894.
- Sariego J, Zrada S, Byrd M, Matsumoto T: **Breast cancer in young patients.** *Am J Surg* 1995, **170**:243-245.
- Winchester DP: **Breast cancer in young women.** *Surg Clin North Am* 1996, **76**:279-287.
- Albain KS, Allred DC, Clark GM: **Interactions between very young age and prognostic factors for disease-free survival (DFS) in the presence or absence of adjuvant breast cancer therapy.** *J Clin Oncol* 1996, **15**:107 (abst 94).
- Gnant M, Taucher S, Steger G, Jakesz R: **Young age (<35 years) at diagnosis of breast cancer is an independent adverse risk factor.** *J Clin Oncol* 1996, **15**:127 (abst 172).
- Holli K, Isola J: **Effect of age on the survival of breast cancer patients.** *Eur J Cancer* 1997, **33**:425-428.
- Kurtz JM, Jacquemier J, Amalric R, Brandone H, Ayme Y, Hans D et al.: **Why are local recurrences after breast-conserving therapy more frequent in younger patients.** *J Clin Oncol* 1990, **8**:591-598.
- Tsangaris TN, Knon SM, Cheek JH: **Tumor hormone receptor status and recurrences in pre-menopausal patients with node-negative breast carcinoma.** *Cancer* 1992, **69**:984-987.
- Kingsley Pillers EM: **Histological grade of breast cancer in young women.** *Lancet* 1992, **339**:1483.