RESEARCH

Open Access



Clinical outcomes and prognostic factors for gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis

Jota Mikami^{1*}, Yutaka Kimura², Yoichi Makari¹, Junya Fujita¹, Tomoya Kishimoto¹, Genta Sawada¹, Shin Nakahira¹, Ken Nakata¹, Masaki Tsujie¹ and Hiroki Ohzato¹

Abstract

Background: Bone metastasis due to gastric cancer is rare, and the clinical features have not been fully evaluated. We investigated the clinical features, treatment outcomes, and prognostic factors in gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis.

Methods: We retrospectively collected data on 34 consecutive patients who were diagnosed radiologically with bone metastasis due to gastric cancer. We estimated the overall survival after the diagnosis of bone metastasis using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit method and evaluated which clinicopathological factors were associated with prognostic factors for survival using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models.

Results: The treatment for the primary tumor was surgery in 16 patients (47.1%) and chemotherapy in 18 patients (52.9%). The median serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels at the time of bone metastasis were 375.5 and 249 IU/L, respectively. Ten patients (29.4%) were diagnosed with bone metastasis and gastric cancer at the same time. The 6-month survival rate after the diagnosis of bone metastasis was 63.8%, and the median survival time was 227.5 days. Multivariate analysis revealed that metachronous metastasis (p = 0.035) and extraosseous metastasis (p = 0.028) were significant risk factors for poor survival.

Conclusions: The prognosis of gastric cancer with bone metastasis was poor, and metachronous metastasis and extraosseous metastasis were shown to be poor prognostic factors. Serum ALP, LDH, and tumor markers are not always high, so aggressive diagnosis using appropriate modalities such as bone scan, MRI, or PET-CT may be necessary in routine practice even in asymptomatic patients.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, Bone metastasis, Prognostic factor

Background

Although the incidence of gastric cancer has decreased in developed countries, it is the second most common cancer worldwide and two thirds of cases are found in developing countries [1]. The main sites of metastasis of gastric cancer are the liver and lungs, and the incidence of bone metastasis due to gastric cancer is only 0.9–2.1% [2], although there may be many gastric cancer patients who have not been diagnosed with metastasis clinically



The median survival times of gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis are 3–4 months after the detection of bone metastasis [4, 5]. Since bone metastasis can cause intractable pain leading to poor quality of life, appropriate treatment strategies are essential for the affected patients [2]. Although the clinical characteristics and poor prognostic factors have been reported, they are not well-defined [4–7]. In this study, we retrospectively examined the clinicopathological features, treatment outcomes, and prognostic factors for survival in gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis.



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: johmikami@sakai-hospital.jp

¹Department of Surgery, Sakai City Medical Center, 1-1-1 Ebarajicho, Nishi-ku, Sakai City 593-8304, Osaka, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Sakai City Medical Center. We retrospectively collected data on 34 consecutive patients who were radiologically diagnosed with bone metastasis due to gastric cancer between January 2010 and December 2015. All tumors were histologically diagnosed as adenocarcinoma with the stomach, which was recognized as primary tumor. Bone metastases have been treated after the clinical diagnosis by CT, PET-CT, bone scintigraphy, or MRI and after confirming that there were no other suspicious cancers by enhanced CT imaging from the chest to the pelvis. Clinicopathological data, such as age at the diagnosis of bone metastasis, gender, the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status scale, symptoms at the diagnosis of bone metastasis, tumor localization, differentiation, clinical or pathological stage (according to the 14th edition of the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma to determine pathological stage [8]) at initial diagnosis, treatment for primary tumor (surgery or chemotherapy), treatment for bone metastasis (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or best supportive care), and the spread of bone metastasis, were determined from patient records. The numerical values of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, and CA125 were obtained from tests performed at the time of the diagnosis of bone metastasis. When the bone metastasis was observed at the same time as the diagnosis of gastric cancer, we defined it as a synchronous pattern of bone metastasis, while a metachronous pattern of bone metastasis was defined as bone metastasis detected at any time after the diagnosis of gastric cancer. For some patients, only a computed tomography (CT) scan was used in the diagnosis because bone metastasis was evident, while many patients were diagnosed using a combination of bone scintigraphy, positron emission tomography (PET)-CT, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

We estimated the overall survival after the diagnosis of bone metastasis using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit method. We also evaluated which clinicopathological factors were associated with prognostic factors for survival using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software, version 19 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The median age of the 34 patients at the time the bone metastasis was diagnosed was 66 years (Table 1). There were 26 male patients and 8 females, and 19 patients (55.9%) had undifferentiated adenocarcinoma. The treatment for the primary tumor was surgery in 16 patients

Table 1	Patient	demographics	and	pathologic	features
---------	---------	--------------	-----	------------	----------

Factors		Patient $(n = 34)$
Age (years)	Median (range)	66 (39–78)
Gender	Male	26 (76.5%)
	Female	8 (23.5%)
ECOG performance status	0–1	16 (47.1%)
	2–4	18 (52.9%)
Bone pain	Present	11 (32.4%)
	Absent	23 (67.6%)
Location	Upper 1/3	5 (14.7%)
	Middle 1/3	17 (50.0%)
	Lower 1/3	5 (14.7%)
	Whole stomach	7 (20.6%)
Histologic type	Differentiated	15 (44.1%)
	Undifferentiated	19 (55.9%)
Stage ^a	1	1 (2.9%)
	II	3 (8.8%)
	Ш	8 (23.5%)
	IV	22 (64.7%)
Treatment for primary tumor	Surgery	16 (47.1%)
	Chemotherapy	18 (52.9%)
ALP (IU/L)	Median (range)	375.5 (157–2743)
LDH (IU/L)	Median (range)	249 (117–1481)
CEA	Median (range)	8.6 (1.0–3508)
CA19-9	Median (range)	53.7 (0.6–1814.0)
CA125	Median (range)	20.3 (7.9–1099)
Diagnostic modality	CT	30 (88.2%)
	Bone scan	10 (29.4%)
	MRI	9 (26.5%)
	PET-CT	1 (2.9%)
Pattern of bone metastasis	Synchronous	10 (29.4%)
	Metachronous	24 (70.6%)
Number of bone metastases	Single	12 (35.3%)
	Multiple	22 (64.7%)
Extraosseous metastasis	Present	26 (76.5%)
	Absent	8 (23.5%)
Treatment of bone metastasis	Chemotherapy	26 (76.5%)
	Radiotherapy	5 (14.7%)
	Best supportive care	4 (11.8%)

Abbreviations: ALP serum alkaline phosphatase, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, CEA serum carcinoembryonic antigen, CA carbohydrate antigen, CT computed tomography, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, PET positron emission tomography a Stage was according to the 14th edition of the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma

(47.1%), and 10 of them had radical resection. Out of 18 patients (52.9%) who used chemotherapy for initial treatment, 2 patients had surgery after chemotherapy with S1

and cisplatin. Eleven patients (32.4%) had bone pain at the time the bone metastasis was diagnosed. The median serum ALP and LDH levels at the time of bone metastasis were 375.5 and 249 IU/L, respectively. To diagnose the bone metastasis, CT scan was used for 30 patients (29.4%), and 15 patients of them underwent bone scintigraphy (9 patients), MRI (7 patients), and PET-CT (1 patient) after CT scan (Two patients underwent both bone scintigraphy and and MRI).

Ten patients (29.4%) were diagnosed with bone metastasis and gastric cancer at the same time, and 26 patients (76.5%) had at least one other organ affected besides their bones. Of the 24 patients who had metachronous metastasis, the median interval from the diagnosis of gastric cancer to the detection of bone metastasis was 398 days (range, 43–1799, data not shown).

The treatment of the bone metastasis consisted of chemotherapy in 26 patients (76%), radiotherapy in 5 patients (15%), and 4 patients only received the best supportive care (12%). The most common sites of bone metastases were the thoracic vertebrae (55.9%), pelvic bones (41.2%), lumbar vertebrae (38.2%), and ribs (29.4%) (Table 2). Many patients were treated with chemotherapy, such as S1-based regimens, as first-line chemotherapy, and taxane-based or irinotecan-based regimens as second and subsequent chemotherapies, according to the recommendation of the Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines for patients who have progressive or recurrent gastric cancer [9]. Some patients were treated with radiotherapy for a localized tumor or local symptom relief.

The 6-month survival rate and the median survival time after the diagnosis of bone metastasis were 63.8% and 227.5 days, respectively (Fig. 1). A multivariate analysis revealed that metachronous metastasis (odds ratio 3.6; 95% confidence interval 1.1–11.7; p = 0.035) and extraosseous metastasis (odds ratio 4.1; 95% confidence

Table 2 Site of bone metastasis	Table	2 Site	of bone	metastasis
---------------------------------	-------	--------	---------	------------

Site of bone metastasis	Patient (<i>n</i> = 34)
Thoracic vertebrae	19 (55.9%)
Pelvic bones	14 (41.2%)
Lumbar vertebrae	13 (38.2%)
Ribs	10 (29.4%)
Cervical vertebrae	6 (17.6%)
Calvarium	4 (11.2%)
Scapula	3 (8.8%)
Lower extremity	3 (8.8%)
Upper extremity	2 (5.9%)
Clavicle	1 (2.9%)
Sternum	1 (2.9%)



interval 1.2–14.9; p = 0.028) were significant risk factors for poor survival (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, the 6-month survival rate and the median survival time after the diagnosis of bone metastasis were 63.8% and 227.5 days, respectively. In univariate analysis, only pattern of bone metastases (synchronous vs metachronous) became an independent prognostic factor. The multivariate analysis was carried out using the pattern of bone metastases and variables pointed out to affect prognosis in past reports [2, 7]. As a result, metachronous metastasis and extraosseous metastasis were significant risk factors for poor survival.

In the period of this study, there were 622 patients who have been treated for gastric cancer for the first time in our hospital, and 34 of them have been diagnosed with bone metastasis. Most patients develop bone metastasis within 2 years of gastric surgery [3]. In this study, the median interval from the diagnosis of gastric cancer to the detection of bone metastasis was 398 days in the patients who had metachronous metastasis, and the median interval from the surgery to the detection of bone metastasis was 562 days. Bone metastases from gastric cancer were not unusual in a multicenter trial [10], and Turkoz et al. suggested that bone metastases should be considered during the follow-up of gastric cancer patients, even in the early period [3]. Patients may have relatively long-term survival if there is no extraosseous metastasis or local control for metastasis is possible, but it is difficult to diagnose bone metastasis because the majority of affected patients are asymptomatic and evaluations for bone metastases are

		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		RR (95% CI)	p value	RR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Age	≥75				
	<75	1.8 (0.25–13.7)	0.54		
Gender	Male				
	Female	1.1 (0.45–2.9)	0.78		
ECOG performance status	0-1				
	2-4	1.4 (0.57–3.4)	0.48	1.1 (0.41–2.8)	0.88
Bone pain	Absent				
	Present	2.0 (0.90-4.5)	0.091	2.7 (0.93–8.1)	0.068
Histologic type	Undifferentiated				
	Differentiated	1.1 (0.53–2.5)	0.73		
Stage ^a	_				
	IV	1.0 (0.46–2.2)	0.99		
Pattern of bone metastasis	Synchronous				
	Metachronous	2.8 (1.1–7.5)	0.038	3.6 (1.1–11.7)	0.035
Extraosseous metastasis	Absent				
	Present	1.1 (0.47–2.8)	0.77	4.1 (1.2–14.9)	0.028

 Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for survival

Abbreviations: CI confidential interval, ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology

^aStage was according to the 14th edition of the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma

not indicated in routine practice [7]. In our study, there were many cases that were discovered by chance during a routine CT examination. Only 32.4% of patients complained about symptoms, such as bone pain. In addition, serum ALP, LDH, or tumor markers were not always high, although there have been several reports that show such serum parameters were useful for diagnosing bone metastasis [11–13]. Ahn et al. suggested that an appropriate modality, such as bone scintigraphy, is required to assess bone metastasis at the time of the initial diagnosis and during follow-up observations [5].

Since there were no prospective studies of therapeutic regimens in gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis, the optimal chemotherapy regimens were unknown [2]. In this study, the treatment of metachronous bone metastasis differed depending on the judgment of the attending physician, and there had been various treatments before bone metastasis was recognized, while median survival time (MST) of patients who were treated metachronous bone metastasis with S1-based regimens or irinotecan-based regimens was significantly longer than MST of other patients (314 vs 87 days, p = 0.010). The Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines recommend S1-based chemotherapy for progressive or recurrent gastric cancer [9]. On the other hand, since bone metastasis can cause disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), the poor general condition of the patient or the presence of thrombocytopenia and severe anemia may make the patient ineligible for chemotherapy [14]. Hironaka et al. reported that sequential methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy resulted in a high rate of alleviation of DIC caused by bone metastasis from gastric cancer [15]. In addition, the pain management for patients with bone pain is important and radiation therapy may be quite effective [5]. In recent years, it has been reported that the incidence of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations in the bone metastases was high in the lung adenocarcinoma [16, 17]. Thus, EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapies could be effective for the type of adenocarcinoma. However, there are no reports about genetic mutations in the bone metastases due to gastric cancer. Identifying such mechanisms like gene mutations may lead to the development of future treatment.

In our study, the diagnosis of bone metastasis was left to the discretion of the attending physician and various modalities were used, so we could not evaluate which diagnostic methods were appropriate. In addition, the treatments varied depending on when the bone metastasis was detected. Therefore, further investigations are necessary.

Conclusions

The prognosis of gastric cancer with bone metastasis was poor, and metachronous metastasis and extraosseous metastasis were shown to be poor prognostic factors. In addition, ALP, LDH, and tumor markers are not always high, so aggressive diagnosis using appropriate modalities such as bone scan, MRI, or PET-CT may be necessary in routine practice even in asymptomatic patients.

Abbreviations

ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; CA: Carbohydrate antigen; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CT: Computed tomography; DIC: Disseminated intravascular coagulation; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; PET: Positron emission tomography

Acknowledgements

None.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

JM performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscripts. YK conceived and designed the study. YM, JF, TK, GS, SN, KN, MT, and HO participated in the study design and coordination. All authors participated in the discussion and approved the final submitted version of the manuscripts.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable, but this study has been announced on the home page of our institution instead.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Sakai City Medical Center.

Author details

¹Department of Surgery, Sakai City Medical Center, 1-1-1 Ebarajicho, Nishi-ku, Sakai City 593-8304, Osaka, Japan. ²Department of Surgery, Kindai University Faculty of Medicine, 377-2 Onohigashi, Sayama City 589-8511, Osaka, Japan.

Received: 8 September 2016 Accepted: 23 December 2016 Published online: 06 January 2017

References

- World Health Organization. Causes of death. 2004. http://www.who.int/ healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GBD_report_2004update_part2.pdf. Accessed Jul 2014.
- Nakamura K, Tomioku M, Nabeshima K, Yasuda S. Clinicopathologic features and clinical outcomes of gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis. Tokai j exp clin med. 2014;39:193–8.
- Turkoz FP, Solak M, Kilickap S, Ulas A, Esbah O, Oksuzoglu B, et al. Bone metastasis from gastric cancer: the incidence, clinicopathological features, and influence on survival. J gastric cancer. 2014;14:164–72.
- Lee J, Lim T, Uhm JE, Park KW, Park SH, Lee SC, et al. Prognostic model to predict survival following first-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma. Ann oncol. 2007;18:886–91.
- Ahn JB, Ha TK, Kwon SJ. Bone metastasis in gastric cancer patients. J gastric cancer. 2011;11:38–45.
- Kim HS, Yi SY, Jun HJ, Lee J, Park JO, Park YS, et al. Clinical outcome of gastric cancer patients with bone marrow metastases. Oncology. 2007;73:192–7.
- Park HS, Rha SY, Kim HS, Hyung WJ, Park JS, Chung HC, et al. A prognostic model to predict clinical outcome in gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis. Oncology. 2011;80:142–50.
- Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition. Gastric cancer. 2011;14:101–12.
- 9. Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2010 (ver. 3). Gastric cancer. 2011;14:113–23.
- Silvestris N, Pantano F, Ibrahim T, Gamucci T, De Vita F, Di Palma T, et al. Natural history of malignant bone disease in gastric cancer: final results of a multicenter bone metastasis survey. Plos one. 2013;8:e74402.

- Choi CW, Lee DS, Chung JK, Lee MC, Kim NK, Choi KW, et al. Evaluation of bone metastases by Tc-99m MDP imaging in patients with stomach cancer. Clin nucl med. 1995;20:310–4.
- Kobayashi M, Okabayashi T, Sano T, Araki K. Metastatic bone cancer as a recurrence of early gastric cancer—characteristics and possible mechanisms. World j gastroenterol. 2005;11:5587–91.
- Catalano V, Graziano F, Santini D, D'Emidio S, Baldelli AM, Rossi D, et al. Second-line chemotherapy for patients with advanced gastric cancer: who may benefit? Br j cancer. 2008;99:1402–7.
- Takashima A, Shirao K, Hirashima Y, Takahari D, Okita NT, Nakajima TE, et al. Sequential chemotherapy with methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil for chemotherapy-naive advanced gastric cancer with disseminated intravascular coagulation at initial diagnosis. J cancer res clin oncol. 2010;136:243–8.
- Hironaka SI, Boku N, Ohtsu A, Nagashima F, Sano Y, Muto M, et al. Sequential methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil therapy for gastric cancer patients with bone metastasis. Gastric cancer. 2000;3:19–23.
- Krawczyk P, Nicos M, Ramlau R, Powrozek T, Wojas-Krawczyk K, Sura S, et al. The incidence of EGFR-activating mutations in bone metastases of lung adenocarcinoma. Pathol oncol res. 2014;20:107–12.
- Nguyen DX, Massague J. Genetic determinants of cancer metastasis. Nat rev genet. 2007;8:341–52.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

